United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | 09/675,509 | 09/29/2000 | Chandler Fulton | 030598.0028.UTL1 | 1879 |
| | 30542 7590 06/22/2007 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| | P.O. BOX 80278 SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278 | | TON, THAIAN N | | |
| | | A 92138-0278 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1632 | |
| | | | | p | |
| | • | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | • | 06/22/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 09/675,509 | | FULTON ET AL. | |
| | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Thaian N. Ton | 1632 | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence ado | lress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National and a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing da | te of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is large no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) are forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection | | | ecause | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further c (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be | | TE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | a corresponding number of finally re | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1. | 116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(| | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | , | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | il be entered and an o | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 10 and 33-39. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered been See Continuation Sheet. | out does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | /Thaian N. Ton | | | | | | |

/Thaian N. Ton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1632

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claim amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. In particular, the amendment to claim 34 broadens the scope of the claim. This would require further consideration, with regard to 112, 1st paragraph, written description. MPEP 714.13 states that Applicants cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims, except when an amendment merely cancels claims, adopts examiner suggestions, removes issues for appeal, or in some way requires only cursory review by the examiner.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim amendments are not entered, thus all prior rejections of record are maintained. Applicants' arguments are in view of the amendment, which is not entered. Therefore these arguments are not persuasive.

The prior rejection of claims 36-39, under 112, 1st paragraph, for enablement is maintained. The enabled scope of the claims is directed to an isolated bacterium selected fl'om the group consisting of avirulent C. sporogenes, avirulent C. beijerinckii, and attenuated, non-pathogenic S. typhimuriumi, transfected with a vector comprising a recombinant nucleic acid sequence encoding thiaminase I from N. gruberi as set forth in SEQ ID NO: 3, wherein the recombinant nucleic acid sequence is operably linked to a promoter.

The prior rejection of claims 34-35, with regard to written description, is maintained.

The prior rejection of claims 10 and 33, under 112, 2nd paragraph, is maintained.